

# MEETING OF THE SCHOOLS FORUM

Wednesday 4 March 2015 at 8:30am

BETHNAL GREEN CENTRE, 229 Bethnal GREEN ROAD, E2 6AB

## AGENDA

1.	Apologies for absence	
2.	Minutes of the meeting held on 21 January 2015 and matters arising	
3.	Schools Budget 2014/15 update	Sajeed Patni
4.	Schools Budget 2015/16	Sailesh Patel
5.	Early Years Funding 2015/16	Monica Forty
6.	Any other business Consultation on SEN Funding - Response	
7.	Next meeting <ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>8:30am, Wednesday 22 April 2015 at the PDC, Bethnal Green</li></ul>	

## Minutes of the Schools Forum – 21 January 2015

**Present:** Jill Cochrane (Chair), Mary Todd, Cath Smith, John Watkin, Paul Dohel, Matthew Rayner, Remi Atoyebi, Wendy Hick, Martin Grant, Sarah Helm, Dennis Jenner, Dave Lake, Dr Rowie Shaw, Marcus Keohane, Gerry McDonald, Ahad Miah, Shahanur Khan .

Anne Canning, Kate Bingham, Sajeed Patni, Sailesh Patel, Lynn Cottle, Hania Franek (clerk).

<b>1. Apologies for absence</b>	<b>Action</b>
<p>Apologies were received from Robert Mc Culloch-Graham, Grahame Price, Nicholas Krendel, Brenda Landers, Alex Kenny, Kim Arrowsmith and David Carroll.</p>	
<p><b>2. Minutes of the meeting held on 10 December 2014 and matters arising</b> (circulated)</p> <p><u>Commissioner approval for release of DSG</u>            Kate Bingham reported that the LA has been advised that grants can only be released with the consent of the commissioners appointed by the Communities Secretary. A meeting will be held with the commissioners today to clarify the position in relation to the DSG and other education grants, for example the Mayor's Higher Education Awards.</p> <p>Minutes agreed</p>	
<p><b>3. School Budget 2014/15 update</b> (circulated)</p> <p>The paper reported on the budget monitoring position. The latest figures for 2014/15 indicate that there will be an underspend of retained activities of £3.177m, which with the unallocated DSG/EFA grant of £2.549m would give a forecast year-end carry forward of £5.726m.</p> <p>The forecast underspend has increased by £1.096m from the projected underspend reported to the Schools Forum in December. Figures for the various components in the budget were listed in the report, which illustrated that there is a small underspend within the High Needs block and that most of the underspend is in the DSG block for Early Years. In future, Early Years money will be ring-fenced.</p>	
<p><b>4. Schools Budget 2015/16</b> (circulated)</p> <p>Sailesh Patel presented this report that informed the Schools Forum about implementation of the changes to the School Revenue Funding arrangements for 2015/16. The Schools Forum would be asked to agree the formula for the Primary and Secondary blocks.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">cont...</p>	

**Schools Budget 2015/16 cont...**

**Action**

The modelling has been refreshed and includes pupil growth. Non-recoupment academy funding (NRA) is now part of the LA's budget block, which has increased. NRA funding is for free schools and academies established since April 2014, with the aim that all Tower Hamlets schools are funded on the same basis.

Representatives would be asked to decide on whether six services individually should be de-delegated from maintained school budgets.

The overall resources of the DSG settlement at 17 December 2104 were £316.655m. The EFA Post 16 grant has been set at the same level as last year (£18.087m). This figure will change and may increase marginally although the net effect will be the same. High Needs has been set at last year's spend until the figures for this block are received in March.

The Early Years block is based on the in-year pupil count each term. In 2015/16, funding for two-year-olds will be based on participation.

The £316.655m DSG for 2015/16 was broken down into the four component blocks: Schools, £250.689m; High Needs, £43.744m; Early Years, £22.169m; and additions/reductions, £53k. The Schools block includes NRA cash transfer of £3.9m and £2.48m for 388 additional pupils. This was slightly higher than anticipated.

The LA submitted a case for exceptional High Needs funding and received £417k. When the EFA funds additional places it does not provide top-up funding, which has to be found from the overall resources.

The January 2014 census was used to calculate the Early Years block. The figures will be refreshed in June 2015. The new methodology will be to base 5 months on the January 2015 census and seven months on the January 2016 census.

The Early years Pupil Premium (£575k) has been added to the DSG for the first time.

In the Schools block the minimum funding guarantee (MFG) is set at - 1.5% for 2015/16. The carbon reduction scheme is now paid directly by Central Government and the per pupil rate of has been reduced by £7.51 as a result.

Matthew Rayner asked about the £417k for additional places for High Needs. This information is in Appendix 4 of the report: i.e. 15 extra places at Phoenix to take the roll to 2015 and an increase in places at Bowden House. Further questions were asked about the top-up rates for High Needs places: £20k for Stephen Hawking and £21k for Phoenix. It was also noted that there is a significant discrepancy between the secondary

top-up figures at Ian Mikardo and Phoenix.	
<p><b>Schools Budget 2015/16 cont....</b></p> <p>There was a request for the discrepancy between top-up funding for children with complex needs between different schools to be brought back to a future meeting.</p> <p>The Pupil Premium (PP) funding in 2015/16 will be:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• For pupils currently eligible for FSM and in the past 6 years: £1,320 for primary pupils and £935 for secondary (unchanged)</li> <li>• £1,900 for looked after children, adopted from care or leaving care, special guardianship or residence order</li> <li>• £300 per child in Services families.</li> </ul> <p>The PP grant is additional to the £7,006.87 funding per pupil and the PP for two year olds is within the DSG. The Forum asked whether the release of the PP would need the commissioners' approval. Kate Bingham said that she would report back after her meeting with them.</p> <p>This year most schools had a slight reduction in PP grant as fewer children were eligible for FSM. Schools have the data on Ever 6 pupils and should be able to work out their budget position when the data is released by the DfE in July. FSM eligibility has decreased across London and research in Tower Hamlets shows significantly lower levels of eligibility in Reception and Year 1 than in previous years.</p> <p>The report included a comparison of forecast requirements for core primary and secondary budgets, which included fixed costs for rates and lump sums. The total primary and secondary schools budgets based on the MFG was £245.244m.</p> <p>45 primary and all secondaries schools are on the MFG; with 9 primaries been capped. 16 schools have come off the MFG.</p> <p>The estimated requirement for pupil growth in 2015/16 is £2.86m. Replying to a question, Anne Canning said that this figure had to be adjusted in the course of the year and that the same amount as last year would be earmarked. Should more funding be needed, contingency would be called upon.</p> <p>The overall growth fund of £2.86m needs to be agreed by the Forum. It includes the items covered in the central provision (£7.1m), detailed in Appendix 1</p> <p>The DfE has agreed to purchase a single national licence for additional licences previously funded through de-delegation from maintained schools.</p>	<p><b>Action</b></p> <p>Sailesh Patel / Sajeed Patni</p>
<b>Schools Budget 2015/16 cont...</b>	<b>Action</b>

<p>10 licences will be managed within a single national licence and additional funding will be needed centrally to meet their cost. A prudent provision of £175k has been included to cover this cost in the draft budget.</p> <p>Cath Smith said that the secondary consultative had asked about the extent to which schools know which licences are being paid for centrally. Some schools suspect that they have also paid for some of these licences. Anne Canning advised that there should be no duplication with licence charges to schools and that schools might also chose to pay for other licences not covered by this arrangement.</p> <p><b>AGREED</b> that a list of the licences/subscriptions covered by the single national licence would be sent to school bursars.</p> <p>Mary Todd said that primary Heads have asked for information on how many schools access the de-delegated services each year and their impact. They have also asked for a contact name for each service. Cath Smith said that not all Heads were aware that they could apply for financial support if staff are suspended pending the outcome of a police investigation.</p> <p>The Early Years Block is largely for funding three and four-year-olds. From April 2015, funding for two-year-olds will be based on participation and there will be in-year adjustments. The DfE has decided that the rate for two-year-olds will be £6.07 per hour for all London boroughs this is a marginal decrease.</p> <p>Replying to questions about in-year adjustments, Sailesh Patel said that account will be taken of how many children are expected and the cash flow will be profiled for the year.</p> <p>At the next meeting, the Schools Forum will need to consider a number of issues affecting the Early Years, including whether there is any scope to agree increases in the per pupil values for 2015/16; the policy for capping full-time nursery places, which is currently 80%/20% full-time/part-time moving to 60%/40% in 2015/16; and the expected number of two, three and four-year-olds to be funded through this block.</p> <p>The proposed funding for the Early Years Block in the DSG is £21.594m and the Early Years Pupil Premium, now a component of the DSG is £0.575m.</p> <p>The DSG has responsibility for High Needs pupils in Tower Hamlets free schools, academies and maintained schools and for pupils who live in Tower Hamlets and attend provision out-borough.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">cont...</p>	<p>Sailesh Patel</p>
--	--------------------------

<b>Schools Budget 2015/16 cont...</b>	<b>Action</b>
<p>Specialist and non-specialist SEN top-up rates were provided in Appendix 4 to the report. The total number of High Needs places in 2014/15 is 857. From April 2015, the number of High Needs places is expected to be 888 and in September 2015, 898. There will be no significant changes to this budget. The PRU will receive £10k per place from September 2015, an increase of £2k. The top-up fee will therefore be reduced by £2k to compensate.</p>	
<p>Appendix 1 to the report contained the calculations of the 2105/16 budget. This pro forma needs to be returned to the DfE today and the Schools Forum was asked:</p>	
<p>(i) to agree the proposed provision of £2.860m for pupil growth contingency and the criteria for accessing it.</p>	
<p><b>FOR: 12            AGAINST: 0            ABSTENTIONS: 0</b></p>	
<p>(ii) for primary school representatives, to agree on whether each of the following services individually should be de-delegated from maintained schools budget:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>- contingency (other than pupil number growth)</li> <li>- behaviour support services</li> <li>- support to UPEG and bilingual learners</li> <li>- free school meals eligibility</li> <li>- licences and subscriptions</li> <li>- staff costs supply cover</li> </ul>	
<p><b>FOR: 6            AGAINST: 0            ABSTENTIONS: 0</b></p>	
<p>(iii) for secondary school representatives, to agree on whether each of the following services individually should be de-delegated from maintained schools budget:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>- contingency (other than pupil number growth)</li> <li>- behaviour support services</li> <li>- support to UPEG and bilingual learners</li> <li>- free school meals eligibility</li> <li>- licences and subscriptions</li> <li>- staff costs supply cover (appendix 3)</li> </ul>	
<p><b>FOR: 4            AGAINST: 0            ABSTENTIONS: 0</b></p>	
<p>(iv) To agree the approach outlined for Early Years (section 6)</p>	
<p><b>FOR: 14            AGAINST: 0            ABSTENTIONS: 2</b></p>	
<p>(v) To agree the approach for High Needs Pupils (section 7)</p>	
<p><b>FOR: 13            AGAINST: 1            ABSTENTIONS: 1</b></p>	

<b>Schools Budget 2015/16 cont...</b>	<b>Action</b>
<p>vi) To agree the approach outlined for Central Provision (Section 8)</p> <p><b>FOR: 14            AGAINST: 0            ABSTENTIONS: 2</b></p>	
<p><b>5. Free School Meal (FSM) Eligibility and Pupil Premium</b> (paper circulated)</p> <p>Sajeed Patni reported on a pending review of the process for validating entitlement to FSMs as there were concerns that the Pupil Premium grant may not be maximised since the introduction of Universal FSMs and the Mayor’s FSM scheme. In London generally, there has been a downward trend on the level of Pupil Premium received.</p> <p>FSM assessment is undertaken by the council’s Benefits Service, which uses a Department for Work and Pensions system, and is a de-delegated service. There are potentially other options for this work, including a DfE eligibility checking service, the LGfL Pan-London checking service by the LA or by schools direct. The review will also look at the processes that other LAs use.</p> <p>Heads reported that it is very difficult for schools to check that the Pupil Premium has been calculated correctly and to track the grant for each child in the previous six years (FSM Ever 6). The data changes frequently, with many families going in and out of the benefits system.</p> <p>A multi-disciplinary project team is being formed, which will include representatives from Benefits, Finance, Data &amp; Performance and Contract Services. Headteacher and school business manager representatives from primary and secondary schools are also being sought.</p> <p>Mary Todd said that she would join the group and that Sue Ward (Clara Grant) has volunteered. Lynn Cottle said that she would represent nursery schools. Remi Atoyebi said that Runa Begum, SBM at Osmani was interested.</p> <p>Cath Smith and Matthew Rayner said that they would make enquiries among colleagues and were asked to send the clerk names by 30 January.</p>	<p>Cath Smith &amp; Matthew Rayner</p>
<p><b>6. DfE SEN Funding Research</b> (paper circulated)</p> <p>The DfE has published a Call for Evidence to support research into future methods of distributing funding for high cost SEN pupils. The DfE has asked for replies to a set of questions, which are available on-line. Schools can respond individually if they wish.</p> <p style="text-align: right;">cont...</p>	



## **AGENDA ITEM 3**

### **Title of report:**

**Schools Budget 2014/15 update**

### **Author of the paper:**

Sajeed Patni

### **Officer to present the paper to School Forum:**

**Sajeed Patni**

### **Details on who has been consulted with on this paper to date:**

Kate Bingham, Sailesh Patel

### **Exec Summary:**

This report provides an update on the 2014/15 Schools Budget monitoring position.

The key points about the Schools Budget are;

- The latest budget monitoring position for 2014/15 suggests that the in-year position will be an underspend on retained activities of £5.154m, which would supplement the £2.549m unallocated DSG / EFA grant to give a forecast year-end carry-forward of £7.703m. £5.726m of this amount has already been factored into the 2015/16 budget presented to Schools Forum in January.
- The target for 2 year old participation has not been fully met resulting in an estimated underspend of £5.438m, plans for 2014/15 reflect proposals to increase capacity however the initial target has proved to be extremely challenging.
- Alternative Provision (AP) is forecasting an underspend of £0.141m, the service is largely demand led and the current demand for AP and length of stay is lower than anticipated.

### **Action required:**

Schools Forum is invited to **discuss and comment on** any of the issues raised in the report.

## 1. SCHOOLS BUDGET 2014/15

- 1.1 Schools Forum at the previous meeting in January 2015 considered the latest position on the Schools Budget for 2014/15. The position has not moved since the last update.
- 1.2 **Table 1** sets out the current available funding for 2014/15.

**Table 1: DfE 2014/15 DSG (March 2015)**

<b>Component (all figures £'000s)</b>	<b>Current Funding for Schools Budget 2014/15</b>
1.0 ISB	245,190
1.0 ISB EFA	18,087
1.1 De-delegated items	2,174
1.2 High Needs	38,508
1.3 Early Years	32,242
1.4 Central Provision	6,403
<b>Total Schools Budget</b>	<b>342,605</b>
1.7.1 DSG	-298,542
1.7.2 DSG b/f	-7,392
1.7.3 EFA Grants	-18,087
1.7.4 Local Authority Contribution	-3,818
1.7.5 Academy Recoupment	-14,766
<b>Total funding for Schools Budget</b>	<b>-342,605</b>
Unallocated DSG	<b>-2,549</b>

- 1.3 **Table 2** includes the budget monitoring position for 2014/15, this identifies that there is expected to be an underspend of £5.154m arising mainly from projected underspends in early years and high needs pupils budgets. The forecast underspend has increased by £1.977m from the projected underspend of £3.177m reported to the forum in January, some more detail on the overall variance is provided below.

**Table 2: 2014/5 Budget monitoring position**

<b>Component</b>	<b>Updated Schools Budget 2014/15 £'000</b>	<b>Forecast spend 2014/15 £'000</b>	<b>Forecast variance £'000</b>
Individual Schools Budgets	263,277	263,277	
De-delegated items	2,174	2,174	
High Needs Budget	38,508	38,318	-190
Early Years Budget	32,242	27,004	-5,238
Central Provision	6,403	6,677	274
<b>Total</b>	<b>342,604</b>	<b>337,450</b>	<b>-5,154</b>
<b>Funded from</b>			
DSG 2014/15	-298,542	-298,542	
DSG b/f 2013/14	-7,392	-7,392	
EFA Post 16 Grant	-18,087	-18,087	
Local Authority Contribution	-3,818	-3,818	
EFA Recoupment (for Academies)	-14,765	-14,765	
<b>Total funding</b>	<b>-342,604</b>	<b>-342,604</b>	
<b>Net Forecast Position</b>		<b>-5,154</b>	<b>-5,154</b>
<b>Unallocated DSG 2014/15</b>	<b>2,549</b>		
<b>Potential c/f</b>	<b>7,703</b>		

## 2. INDIVIDUAL SCHOOLS BUDGETS

- 2.1 The only changes here are the amalgamation of Holy Family and Our Lady's Schools to a new school known now as Our Lady and St Joseph from 1<sup>st</sup> September 2014. For 2014/15 this has no material impact overall as the Schools will combine their overall resources.

## 3. HIGH NEEDS

- 3.1 There is currently a forecast underspend of £0.190m within High Needs mainly due to Alternative Provision (AP). AP is demand led and the current demand and length of stay is lower than anticipated. It should be noted that the forecast underspend has been adjusted upwards from £0.149m in January, in previous years High Needs has proved to be a volatile area

however current commitments still point towards a forecast underspend overall.

#### **4. EARLY YEARS**

- 4.1 The DSG block for Early Years will fluctuate during 2014/15, based on actual numbers of pupils on roll at termly censuses. Allocations for 2, 3 and 4 year olds will be made to individual settings (nursery schools, primary schools and private, voluntary and independent settings) on the basis of the numbers on roll in each termly census, too.
- 4.2 The forecast underspend in this area of £5.238m relates to the authority not yet being able to deliver its targets for 2 year old participation, the plans in 2014/15 reflect proposals to increase capacity, a target of 2,800 places was set initially and progress towards this will take some time. The forecast has moved significantly to that reported to this forum at the last meeting with an increase in the forecast underspend of £2.210m from £3.028m. This is as a result of reviewing the current participation levels against the time remaining to financial year end.

#### **5. CENTRAL PROVISION**

- 5.1 Central Provision includes those services that have been agreed by Schools Forum should be funded through DSG as Combined Services, as well as Admissions and Premature Retirement among others. It also includes the Pupil Growth fund which applies to all academies and maintained schools where planned / emergency expansions of admission numbers have been necessary. Most of this is usually committed after the October 2014 pupil census. The present forecast is that this will overspend by £0.274m as a result of more growth pressures than originally budgeted.

#### **6. 2015/16 POSITION**

- 6.1 The emerging 2014/15 position stated earlier in this report predicts an underspend of £5.154m in the allocated DSG budget, taking into account the unallocated brought forward sum of £2.549m reported to Schools Forum in June this means potential carry forward of £7.703m at the end of this financial year. £5.726m of this amount had already been factored into the 2015/16 budget presented to Schools Forum in January, the additional £1.977m is also factored into the overall DSG budget for 2015/16 elsewhere on this agenda.

## **AGENDA ITEM 4**

**Title of report: Schools Budget 2015/16**

**Author of the paper: Sailesh Patel**

**Officer to present the paper to Schools Forum: Sailesh Patel**

**Details on who has been consulted with on this paper to date:**

Kate Bingham, Sajeed Patni

### **Executive Summary**

At the previous meeting, Schools Forum determined the primary and secondary core budgets, including de-delegation and the level of the pupil growth fund. Schools Forum also agreed the general approach to setting the remainder of the budget, subject to further consideration at this meeting.

The principal changes being proposed for the final Schools Budget for 2015/16 are:

- Funding allocations for Early Years to be determined as per the separate report on this agenda.
- All Early Years provision, including Local Authority Day Nurseries and Early Years Development (£3.818m), will be accounted for within the Schools Budget.

The proposed final Schools Budget for 2015/16 is **£351.293m**.

Because the Schools Budget is relying on £7m+ carry-forward, some consideration will need to be given to how the budget operates on a sustainable basis from 2016/17 onwards.

### **Details of recommendations and timescales for decisions:**

**Schools Forum are invited to:**

- 1) Agree the Schools Budget of £351.293m for 2015/16 as per Table 1 and Appendix 1.**
- 2) Note and comment on the longer-term position of the Schools Budget.**

## INTRODUCTION / SUMMARY

- 1.1. The Department for Education introduced School Funding Reform from April 2013 and the Authority has worked closely with schools and the Schools Forum to implement these changes effectively.
- 1.2. Schools Forum at the previous meeting in January 2015 considered the projected outturn position for 2014/15 and the draft position for 2015/16. Decisions were made about primary and secondary core budgets, de-delegation and pupil growth provision. The approach for the rest of the budget was agreed by Schools Forum, subject to further consideration at this meeting.
- 1.3. There is no change in the funding available, other than a revised forecast carry-forward from 2014/15 into 2015/16. There are some changes to the funding source for early years activities and Non recoupment academies costs that are technical in nature for 2015/16.
- 1.4. Schools Forum is asked to formally agree the Schools Budget for 2015/16, but in doing so, is asked to consider the separate paper for Early Years which has proposals about how the sum earmarked at the previous meeting ought to be allocated.

## 2. SCHOOLS BUDGET 2015/16

- 2.1. The overall funding available for 2015/16 is as set out in Table 1.

**Table 1: Schools Budget Income 2015/16**

<b>Future income</b>	<b>£'000</b>
Dedicated Schools Grant 2015/16 as 17th Dec 2014.	316,655
Add c/f forecast 2014/15	7,703
Education Funding Agency 2015/16	18,087
General Fund contribution	3,818
Estimated income for 2 year olds 2015-16	3,530
Non Recoupment Cash Transfer	1,500
<b>Schools Budget income 2014/15</b>	<b>351,293</b>

- 2.2. The DfE are still to announce final figures for the High Needs Block. The Early Years Block changes term by term, based on actual pupil numbers. The Education Funding Agency Post 16 Grant has not yet been updated.
- 2.3. In January 2015 Schools Forum agreed the approach of setting the Schools Budget for 2015/16. Officers submitted the Authority Proforma Tool (APT) and this has been accepted by the DfE.
- 2.4. There are three changes to the proposed budget for 2015/16.

Schools Forum – 4<sup>th</sup> March 2015

- 2.5. The first relates to an update on the projected carry-forward position, which has improved by **£1.977m**.
- 2.6. The second relates to the 2 year old funding, as from April 2015 the funding for 2 year olds will change from place funding to participation, based upon actual take up of hours. The DfE has therefore at this stage not provided a Dedicated Schools Grant (DSG) budget for 2 year olds; it will confirm in June 2015 an allocation based upon the January 2015 early years pupil census. The value per hour received from the DfE will continue at £6.07, in order to estimate the budget required to resource this provision we have estimated the Income based on average take up of 2 year olds in 2015/16 as **1020 pte**, with income of **£3.53m** (appendix 1)
- 2.7. The third relates to the continued contribution from the General Fund to support the Schools Budget for early years. This is in tandem with an increase in the activities attributed to the Schools Budget for Early Years. The net effect of this General Fund contribution is neutral on the planned use of the DSG for 2015/16.
- 2.8. Since the abolition of SureStart Grant in 2011/12, the Authority has chosen to fund some early years provision from the General Fund. With the financial reductions affecting the General Fund in the coming years, this position is difficult to sustain, particularly when the Schools and Early Years Funding Regulations expect all early years provision to be accounted for within the Schools Budget. In the long-term (3-4 years) there will be a review of provision in Early Years with a view to ensuring that the activity associated with Early Years provision and support is funded entirely within the early years resources that are provided through the DSG. This is not the proposal for 2015/16 because there is insufficient scope to fund the current activities from the available DSG on a sustainable basis. Nor indeed will it be possible to fund current levels of activity from the DSG in the long-term.
- 2.9. Local Authority Day Nurseries already receive some funding for educating 2, 3 and 4 year olds, but it is not the main source of their funding. Part of the review would have to consider how these establishments were funded within (an adapted) Early Years Single Funding Formula.
- 2.10. There is a separate paper on the agenda which sets out how the overall £31.709m earmarked resource for early years should be allocated.
- 2.11. **Table 2** below summarises the proposed Schools Budget for 2015/16. **Appendix 1** provides explanations about the reasons for changes.

**Table 2: Summary of Proposed Schools Budget 2015/16**

	2014-15	2015-16
<b>Schools Forum Summary</b>		
1.0 ISB	263,278	270,943
1.1 De-delegated items	2,174	1,774
1.2 High Needs	38,508	39,750
1.3 Early Years	32,242	31,709
1.4 Central Provision	6,403	7,117
Total Schools Budget	<b>342,604</b>	<b>351,293</b>
1.7.1 DSG	-298,542	-296,130
1.7.1 DSG 2 year Old Estimate		-3,530
1.7.1 DSG Non Recoupment Cash Transfer due		-1,500
1.7.2 DSG b/f	-7,392	-7,703
1.7.3 EFA Grants	-18,087	-18,087
1.7.4 Local Authority Contribution	-3,818	-3,818
1.7.5 Academy Recoupment	-14,766	-20,525
Total funding for Schools Budget	<b>-342,604</b>	<b>-351,293</b>

2.12. The forecast carry forward of £7.703m remains a forecast, which may be subject to final variation when the accounts are closed at year-end, particularly given the volatility of operating high needs and early years central commissioning budgets.

### **3. HIGH NEEDS 2015/16**

3.1 High needs budget allocation (line 1.2. in Appendix 1). There are no significant changes to the structure of the budget, PRU's place led funding increases from £8k per place to £10k per place from September 2015 but the top up fees will be reduced to reflect this increase. Detailed work is ongoing to calculate the commitments for 2015/16. Although we understand the services in more detail than previous years there are still high risk areas such as top-ups, independent schools, and FE and ISPs and therefore there is a need monitor this area very closely.

### **4. FUTURE YEARS**

4.1. With the Schools Budget being set on the basis of underspends from the previous year, there is a danger that the budget is not sustainable in the long-term.

4.2. The proposals elsewhere on the agenda advise about the Early Years activities and there are proposals which allocate all of the DSG funding, including the amount for 2 year olds. Care has been taken to put forward one-off proposals to increase 2 year old capacity so that, once places are filled there is sustainable funding to pay for them.

Schools Forum – 4<sup>th</sup> March 2015

- 4.3. The proposal to account for all early years provision within the DSG will require a review to determine what actions will be required to achieve this aim and the length of time it will take to get to the position where the DSG will accommodate this fully. If the principle to be adopted was that only early years funding from the DSG would be applied to early years provision, this arrangement would have no direct impact on other parts of the Schools Budget.

## **5. NEXT STEPS**

- 5.1. Final figures are awaited from the Education Funding Agency for High Needs places and for Post 16.
- 5.2. The Section 251 Statement of Schools budgets will be completed if Schools Forum agrees the budget proposals for 2015/16. This will be published and available for all schools.
- 5.3. Commissioning budgets for specialist provision will continue to be refined by firming up estimates of likely numbers of pupils with such needs. This includes agreeing final place numbers with the Education Funding Agency. This is not going to affect the budget set aside at this stage but will assist in informing how much is truly committed.

## **AGENDA ITEM 5**

**Title of report: Early Years Budget 2015/16**

**Author of the paper: Jo Green**

**Officer to present the paper to Schools Forum: Monica Forty**

**Details on who has been consulted with on this paper to date:**  
Monica Forty

### **Executive Summary**

The purpose of this report is to inform Schools Forum of the budgetary and policy issues regarding the Early Years centrally retained spending arrangements for 2015/16 and to seek approval for budgetary changes for 2015/16.

The report deals with:

- Progress and development of the cap on full-time funded nursery places in schools, and issues arising from the cap
- The forecast take-up of 2, 3 and 4 places in PVIs
- Long term funding issues for the LA Day Nurseries and the Early Years Service
- Use of funds previously allocated through mainstream grants

**Details of recommendations and timescales for decisions:**

***Schools Forum is invited to:***

- 1) Decide whether to approve the Early Years centrally retained spending budget of £8,048,000 for 2015/2016 with the allocations as set out in Section 2***
- 2) Reconfirm the implementation of the 60% cap on funded full-time places in schools from September 2015***
- 3) Decide whether to approve a 60p per hour supplement for two-year old funded places from September 2015***

## 1. 2015/16 - EARLY YEARS

- 1.1. The Early Years block largely funds the Early Years Single Funding Formula (EYSFF) which allocates funding to early years providers, including nursery classes within maintained schools and academies, for the provision of the free entitlement for 3 and 4 year olds. The provisional allocation of funding is based on the January 2014 census and therefore includes no funding for increased take-up or demographic growth. The DSG settlement will be adjusted once the January 2015 early years census data has been verified.
- 1.2. From April 2015 the funding of 2 year-old places will move to a full participation model meaning that LAs will be funded on actual take up of places.
- 1.3. Initial allocations for funding for disadvantaged two year olds will be announced in June 2015 and based on numbers of eligible children participating in early education as recorded in the relevant January 2015 census. Allocations will eventually be adjusted using the same approach as that used for three and four year olds, again, final allocations will not be confirmed until June 2016.
- 1.4. In October 2014 the DfE published funding rates for the early education entitlement for 2 year olds and the rate for Tower Hamlets has been confirmed as **£6.07** per hour.

## 2. Early Years centrally retained spending

Total Budget Allocation	8,048,000
EL2 revenue contribution to capital	2,500,000
ex-Mainstream Grants	600,000
Early Years Service	1,950,000
LA Day Nurseries	2,379,710
EL2 Management and Administration	320,000
Portage	154,000
Contingency	144,290

Note that the revenue contribution to capital has previously been agreed by Schools Forum

3. What scope in the long-term is there to agree any increases in per pupil values for early years settings for 2015/16?
  - 3.1. There is no capacity at present to increase funding per place without reducing further than the planned 60% cap of full-time places.

4. Has the capping on the number of full-time nursery places been working in ways that were envisaged originally?
  - 4.1. The number of funded full-time places has been capped at 80%. A full-time place is defined as 25 hours per week. There is no statutory duty to fund more than 15 hours per week. Schools Forum previously agreed to lower the cap to 60% from September 2014. This was later deferred to September 2015.
  - 4.2. One of the principal reasons for the implementation of the cap was to create additional part-time places. This increase would lead to a net flow of three year olds from PVI, and thus free up places in PVI providers for funded two-year olds.
  - 4.3. It is not proposed to defer further the introduction of the 60% cap, but to implement it from September 2015.
  - 4.4. The capping aimed to give greater equity of provision for both full-time and part-time places and offer a greater degree of transparency in policy. In the main this has happened.
  - 4.5. Some schools are reporting difficulties in filling all their part-time places.
  - 4.6. The nursery schools, given their small size and consequently smaller budgets, are particularly vulnerable to fluctuations in take-up.
  - 4.7. To ensure places are filled some schools may need to look at different delivery models for the nursery education offer.
  - 4.8. There are some schools offering only full-time places, but they use the school's budget to cover the cost. More school are beginning to charge families for additional hours.
  - 4.9. The nursery schools are being supported in taking on two-year olds (Old Church and Alice Model already do).
  - 4.10. Some schools struggling to fill part time places are finding some success with covering the 15 hours over 3 days as this sits well with parents working part-time.
  - 4.11. Schools are able to charge for the additional hours beyond the 15 funded hours per week. At least one school is now only offering 15 free hours to parents and any parent who wants more hours has to pay.
  - 4.12. It should be noted that there are many parents who have been unable to get a school nursery place and so are paying to use a PVI provider to get a full-time place.

- 4.13. Financial support is available to parents for their childcare costs.
- 4.14. Parents on low income who pay for childcare can claim up to 70% back childcare tax credits. This will be increasing to 85% under Universal Credit in 2016. Parents not eligible for this scheme will be able to get 20% off their childcare costs from the Tax Free Childcare scheme.
- 4.15. Schools (which are not filling their places) may wish to consider admission of three-year olds throughout the year – with some perhaps having four terms in nursery.
- 4.16. There are many three-year olds who remain in PVIs in the spring and summer terms that could transfer to schools if there were school entry in these terms.
5. The expected numbers of actual 2, 3 and 4 year olds that would be funded through early years funding in each term of 2015/16 and how that impacts on the termly adjustment to the DSG

### **5.1 Funded 3 and 4 year old provision in PVIs**

- 5.2 There has been a consistent upward trend in the take-up of 3 and 4 year old places in PVIs over several years. The estimate figures for 2015-2016 assume that this trend will continue with an increase of around 4%.

Estimated take-up of 3 and 4 year old places in PVIs 2015-2016

Term	Year	Places	Hours	Cost
Summer	2015	1600	288000	£ 1,425,600
Autumn	2015	950	199500	£ 987,525
Spring	2016	1350	243000	£ 1,202,850

Note that the costs are worked out using the hourly rate of £ 4.72 and assume 50% eligibility for the deprivation allowance of 46p per hour.

### **5.3 Funded two-year old provision**

- 5.4 The Local Authority has a statutory duty to ensure that there is provision available for all eligible two-year olds. There are 2297 eligible children in the borough. Currently there is around a 31% take-up.
- 5.5 The offer is being heavily promoted through a marketing campaign. The promotion not only has to make parents aware of the offer but also persuade parents of the benefits of the offer, particularly in communities where childcare is not the norm for two-year olds.

- 5.6 There is a capital programme to develop new provision. By spring 2016 it is hoped that a further 823 places will have been created from 23 projects. Further places will be created after this.
- 5.7 If places are created as planned and filled quickly, there will be a lag between the amount of funding received and the amount required to be paid to providers.
- 5.8 It should be noted that given the factors outlined above it is difficult to forecast take-up with great accuracy.

Estimated take-up of 2 year-old places in 2015-2016

Term	Year	Places	Hours	Cost
Summer	2015	810	145800	£ 911,250
Autumn	2015	960	201600	£ 1,260,000
Spring	2016	1260	226800	£ 1,417,500

Note that the costs are for the base rate of £6.07 and do not include the proposed supplement as set out in section 7 on mainstream grants below.

6. How, in the context of the Authority's Formula Grant (supporting the Council's main General Fund budget) being reduced, it would be possible for the Authority to continue to meet the costs of Local Authority Day Nurseries and some Early Years development costs, when the School Funding Regulations expect these costs normally to be met from the Schools Budget?
- 6.1 As part of the savings exercise that the council is having to undertake due to the reduced Formula Grant from central government, a proposal was put to members with an option to close the Local Authority Day Nurseries. Members decided not to approve this course of action. How they are to be funded in the long-term is still to be determined.
- 6.2 The LA Day nursery service is undergoing a review to increase efficiency, to maximise take-up, and to increase the proportion of funded places and paid for places. These measures will bring in income leading to a net saving of £104,000.
- 6.3 A further reduction in budget allocation of £295,000 is possible due to the closure of George Green Day Nursery. (There is no salary saving as staff have moved to the remaining day nurseries).

6.4 The Early Years Service budget is being reduced by £150,000. The EYS is also looking at moving its Workforce Development provision towards a traded service.

7. How could funding for Private, Voluntary and Independent settings in the future could be set in such a way that these institutions did not need to rely on Early Years Mainstream Grants in the way they do now?

7.1 Mainstream Grants, through a contribution from DSG, has for many years supported voluntary PVI childcare settings providing nursery education for 3 and 4 year olds. It has also supported umbrella organisations and other early years groups. The current budget for this is £735,400. The spend for the current year will be £566,572.

7.2 The proposed budget allocation for 2015/2016 is £600,000.

	Spend 14/15	Roll-over 5/12	New scheme 15/16	Total 15/16
Commissioned	197,778	82,408	106,996	189,404
Supplement	368,794	153,664	256,932	410,596
Total	566,572	236,072	363,928	600,000

7.3 This contribution to Mainstream Grants is now ending. However, the Commissioners have determined that there should be roll-over for the first five months of the coming financial year.

7.4 It is proposed that the funding process for childcare providers is changed from an application process to a supplement to the hourly rate for funded two-year old places. For 2015/2016 this would start in September 2015 because of the roll-over mentioned above. The proposed supplement is 60p per hour. Assuming the average number of places over the second half of the year is 1098 (see table in section 5), the cost of the supplement will be £256,932.

7.5 The reasons for the supplement are to:

- Encourage take-up of funded two-year olds
- Reward success
- Provide a fair distribution of funding
- Simplify the process for receiving funding

7.6 The supplement will apply to all providers of funded two-year old places, not just voluntary providers as happens with the current

grants scheme. For this reason the allocation has been increased by 11% from the actual expenditure for 2014/2015.

- 7.7 This will need to be reviewed annually. If the budget available remains constant, and the number of funded places increases, the hourly supplement would have to be reduced.
- 7.8 The services to be commissioned are still to be determined. The key areas identified are infrastructure support for childcare providers, and essential Early Years sector services unable to source other funding streams.

Detailed Calculations of 2015/16 Schools Budget

Appendix 1

DSG heading	DSG sub-heading	Published S251 Schools Budget 2014-15	Provisional 2015-16 budget	March SF Comments	Comments on Jan 15 proposed adjustments	Schools Forum Category for summary tables 3
1.0 ISB	1.0.1a Nursery	23,677	23,223	Some rebalancing between 1.0 and 1.3.1 and 1.3.2 will be necessary once final decisions taken on Early Years budget. It does not affect the overall total for EY. This line now includes current activity funded from the General Fund (EY Development and LA Day Nurseries) ought to be funded from DSG, consistent with the regulations and in a manner that rationalises the policy arrangements for EY provision the Appendix 2 shows the detail	Further work needed here, and needs to be seen alongside 1.3.2 and 1.3.3. These adjustments bring the Early Years components to the level of the EY Block only, the allocation for 2 Year Olds will be reassessed in March. <b>For March 2015 SF need to:</b> a) Assess realistic number of 2, 3 and 4 year olds in each setting; b) Impact of 60/40 Full-time / Part-time pupils policy; c) Calculate allocation for 2 Year Olds; and d) Recognise that the current activity funded from the General Fund (EY Development and LA Day Nurseries) ought to be funded from DSG, consistent with the regulations and in a manner that rationalises the policy arrangements for EY provision.	1.3 Early Years
1.0 ISB	1.0.1a Primary	133,585	137,197		Reflects final proposed APT submission, plus submitted place numbers for Specialist Resourced Provision (107)	1.0 ISB
1.0 ISB	1.0.1b Secondary	123,410	126,970		Reflects final proposed APT submission, plus submitted place numbers for Specialist Resourced Provision (35) and the 2014/15 (i.e. not updated yet) EFA Grant for Post 16	1.0 ISB
1.0 ISB	1.0.1c PRU	1,600	2,000		Increase in place funding for AP's, submitted places for PRU remains 200 @ now £10,000 each.	1.0 ISB
1.0 ISB	1.0.1c Special Schools	4,683	4,776		Reflects updated place numbers submitted to EFA in December 2014 (i.e. now 492 @ £10,000)	1.0 ISB
1.0 ISB	1.0.1g Pupil Premium				Nil net budget, as all funded by grant	1.0 ISB
1.1 De-delegated items	1.1.1 Contingencies	782	492		Updated to reflect final APT submission, pending final decisions by Schools Forum on de-delegation at Jan 15 meeting.	1.1 De-delegated items
1.1 De-delegated items	1.1.2 Behaviour	284	287		Updated to reflect final APT submission, pending final decisions by Schools Forum on de-delegation at Jan 15 meeting.	1.1 De-delegated items
1.1 De-delegated items	1.1.3 UPEG and bilingual	517	522		Updated to reflect final APT submission, pending final decisions by Schools Forum on de-delegation at Jan 15 meeting.	1.1 De-delegated items
1.1 De-delegated items	1.1.4 FSM Eligibility	126	127		Updated to reflect final APT submission, pending final decisions by Schools Forum on de-delegation at Jan 15 meeting.	1.1 De-delegated items
1.1 De-delegated items	1.1.7 Licences and Subs	72	26		Updated to reflect final APT submission, pending final decisions by Schools Forum on de-delegation at Jan 15 meeting.	1.1 De-delegated items
1.1 De-delegated items	1.1.8 Staff costs	393	320		Updated to reflect final APT submission, pending final decisions by Schools Forum on de-delegation at Jan 15 meeting.	1.1 De-delegated items
1.2 High Needs	1.2.1 Top-up funding - maintained	23,031	24,209		Based on reassessment of mainstream top-ups for LBTH pupils, LBTH special school top-ups and out-borough special school top-ups.	1.2 High Needs
1.2 High Needs	1.2.2 Academies, Free Schools and colleges (top-up)	1,420	1,620		Reflects the increase in academy/ free schs numbers principally; the entitlement for academies/ free schs is no different than for maintained schools for High Needs.	1.2 High Needs
1.2 High Needs	1.2.3 Independent providers and NMSS (top-up)	6,250	6,250		After reassessment of independent special schools and other commitments	1.2 High Needs

1.2 High Needs	1.2.4 Additional High Needs for maintained schools and academies			Assessment required for Mar 2015 on free and academies High needs	1.2 High Needs
1.2 High Needs	1.2.5 SEN Support Services	4,399	4,399	Includes £0.637m for outreach and £60k for inreach in special schools to be reassessed	1.2 High Needs
1.2 High Needs	1.2.6 Hospital Education	460	460	No change because submitted places for Hospital Tuition is 40 @ £11,500.	1.2 High Needs
1.2 High Needs	1.2.7 Other AP provision	2,868	2,623	Reflects the numbers of PRU pupils requiring individual support plus further City Gateway placements costs .	1.2 High Needs
1.2 High Needs	1.2.8 Support for Inclusion	48	50	No change	1.2 High Needs
1.2 High Needs	1.2.9 Special Schools and PRUs in financial difficulty		100	contingency add to support schools	1.2 High Needs
1.2 High Needs	1.2.10 PFI and BSF costs at special schools	32	39	Updated to reflect actual indexation for 2015/16 PFI subsidy.	1.2 High Needs
1.2 High Needs	1.2.11 Direct Payments (SEN and Disability)			No change	1.2 High Needs
1.2 High Needs	1.2.12 Carbon Reduction Commitment (PRUs)			No change	1.2 High Needs
1.3 Early Years	1.3.1 Central Expenditure on Under 5	8,565	7,911	Accounting for all under 5 provision for Early Years plus Capital carried forward and contingency for Early Years including the Two year old funding moved to participation has been estimated here on 1020 pupils. (£3.53m )	1.3 Early Years
1.3 Early Years	1.3.2 Central Expenditure on Under 5		575	Early Years Pupil Premium	1.3 Early Years
1.4 Central Provision	1.4.1 Combined budgets	1,690	1,690	No change	1.4 Central Provision
1.4 Central Provision	1.4.2 Admissions	729	729	No change	1.4 Central Provision
1.4 Central Provision	1.4.3 Schools Forum	30	30	No change	1.4 Central Provision
1.4 Central Provision	1.4.4 Termination costs	1,117	1,117	Updated to reflect schedule of anticipated costs referred to in the main report.	1.4 Central Provision
1.4 Central Provision	1.4.5 Falling Rolls Fund			No change	1.4 Central Provision
1.4 Central Provision	1.4.6 Capital Expenditure from Revenue (CERA)			No change	1.4 Central Provision
1.4 Central Provision	1.4.7 Prudential Borrowing Costs			No change	1.4 Central Provision
1.4 Central Provision	1.4.8 Fees to ISS (Not SEN)	510	510	No change	1.4 Central Provision
1.4 Central Provision				No change	1.4 Central Provision
1.4 Central Provision	1.4.10 Pupil growth/ Infant class sizes	2,218	2,866	Calculation to be done for Jan 15 Schools Forum, based on known planned expansions and current policy. SF will need to approve this budget specifically.	1.4 Central Provision
1.4 Central Provision					1.4 Central Provision
1.4 Central Provision	1.4.12 Exceptions agreed by Secretary of State	110	175	Increase to reflect the larger number of individual licences and subscriptions that have been negotiated by the DfE for 2015/16.	1.4 Central Provision
1.4 Central Provision	1.4.13 Other items				1.4 Central Provision
1.7 Funding Source	1.7.1 DSG	-298,542	-296,130	To match expenditure plans	1.7.1 DSG

1.7 Funding Source	1.7.1 DSG 2 year Old Estimate		-3,530	Estimated (1020 pte) average of 2 year old Funding.	
1.7 Funding Source	1.7.1 DSG Non Recoupment Cash Transfer		-1,500	EFA: non- recoupment cash Transfer due in April	
1.7 Funding Source	1.7.2 DSG b/f	-7,392	-7,703	To reflect current c/f position	1.7.2 DSG b/f
1.7 Funding Source	1.7.3 EFA Grants	-18,087	-18,087	2014-15 figure to be updated in Mar 2015.	1.7.3 EFA Grants
1.7 Funding Source	1.7.4 Local Authority Contribution	-3,818	-3,818	To contribute to net changes in Early Years activities	1.7.4 Local Authority Contri
1.7 Funding Source	1.7.5 Academy Recoupment	-14,766	-20,525	Updated to reflect the final proposed APT submission.	1.7.5 Academy Recoupmen
<b>SCHOOLS BUDGET TOTAL</b>					

	2014-15	2015-16
<b>Schools Forum Summary</b>		
1.0 ISB	263,278	270,943
1.1 De-delegated items	2,174	1,774
1.2 High Needs	38,508	39,750
1.3 Early Years	32,242	31,709
1.4 Central Provision	6,403	7,117
Total Schools Budget	<b>342,604</b>	<b>351,293</b>
1.7.1 DSG	-298,542	-296,130
1.7.1 DSG 2 year Old Estimate		-3,530
1.7.1 DSG Non Recoupment Cash Transfer due		-1,500
1.7.2 DSG b/f	-7,392	-7,703
1.7.3 EFA Grants	-18,087	-18,087
1.7.4 Local Authority Contribution	-3,818	-3,818
1.7.5 Academy Recoupment	-14,766	-20,525
Total funding for Schools Budget	<b>-342,604</b>	<b>-351,293</b>

LA Name <b>Tower Hamlets</b>	LA Number <b>211</b>
---------------------------------	-------------------------

1. EYSFF (three and four year olds) Base Rate(s) per hour, per provider type	Description	Unit Value (£)			Unit Applied	Number of Units			Anticipated Budget (£)			
		PVI	Nursery School	Primary Nursery Class		PVI	Nursery School	Primary Nursery Class	PVI	Nursery School	Primary Nursery Class	TOTAL
1	Nuersey N1	£4.72	£7.87	£4.72	per hour	730,500	419,483	2,188,740	£3,447,960	£3,301,331	£10,330,853	£17,080,144
	Nursery N2		£8.95				61,080			£546,666		£546,666

  

2. Supplements (please provide in written format a short explanation of your supplement payments)	Deprivation (Mandatory)	Description	Unit Value (£)			Unit Applied	Number of Units			Anticipated Budget (£)			
			PVI	Nursery School	Primary Nursery Class		PVI	Nursery School	Primary Nursery Class	PVI	Nursery School	Primary Nursery Class	TOTAL
	1		£0.46	£0.46	£0.80		518,294	198,953	914,829	£238,415	£91,518.42	£731,863.51	£1,061,797

  

Quality (if applicable)	Description	Unit Value (£)			Unit Applied	Number of Units			Anticipated Budget (£)				
		PVI	Nursery School	Primary Nursery Class		PVI	Nursery School	Primary Nursery Class	PVI	Nursery School	Primary Nursery Class	TOTAL	
1													£0.00

  

Flexibility (if applicable)	Description	Unit Value (£)			Unit Applied	Number of Units			Anticipated Budget (£)				
		PVI	Nursery School	Primary Nursery Class		PVI	Nursery School	Primary Nursery Class	PVI	Nursery School	Primary Nursery Class	TOTAL	
1													£0.00

  

Sustainability (if applicable)	Description	Unit Value (£)			Unit Applied	Number of Units			Anticipated Budget (£)				
		PVI	Nursery School	Primary Nursery Class		PVI	Nursery School	Primary Nursery Class	PVI	Nursery School	Primary Nursery Class	TOTAL	
1													£0.00

  

3. Other formula factors and lump sums (if applicable)	Description	Unit Value (£)			Unit Applied	Number of Units			Anticipated Budget (£)					
		PVI	Nursery School	Primary Nursery Class		PVI	Nursery School	Primary Nursery Class	PVI	Nursery School	Primary Nursery Class	TOTAL		
		1	N1			£1.34			419,483			£562,107.22		£562,107
			N2			£1.43			61,080			£87,344.40		£87,344
	PFI		£200.06			334			£66,910		£66,910			

  

4. Additional funded free hours eg full time places (if applicable)	Description	Unit Value (£)			Unit Applied	Number of Units			Anticipated Budget (£)			
		PVI	Nursery School	Primary Nursery Class		PVI	Nursery School	Primary Nursery Class	PVI	Nursery School	Primary Nursery Class	TOTAL
1												

  

<b>TOTAL FUNDING FOR EARLY YEARS SINGLE FUNDING FORMULA (3s AND 4s) :</b>													<b>£19,404,969</b>
---	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--------------------

5. Two year old Base Rate(s) per hour, per provider type	Description	Unit Value (£)			Unit Applied	Number of Units			Anticipated Budget (£)			
		PVI	Nursery School	Primary Nursery Class		PVI	Nursery School	Primary Nursery Class	PVI	Nursery School	Primary Nursery Class	TOTAL
1	base rate	£6.07	£6.07	£6.07	per hour	513,000	34,200	34,200	£3,113,910	£207,594	£207,594	£3,529,098

  

6. Two year old supplements (please provide a short explanation of your supplement payments)	Quality (if applicable)	Description	Unit Value (£)			Unit Applied	Number of Units			Anticipated Budget (£)			
			PVI	Nursery School	Primary Nursery Class		PVI	Nursery School	Primary Nursery Class	PVI	Nursery School	Primary Nursery Class	TOTAL
	1												

  

Other supplements (if applicable)	Description	Unit Value (£)			Unit Applied	Number of Units			Anticipated Budget (£)			
		PVI	Nursery School	Primary Nursery Class		PVI	Nursery School	Primary Nursery Class	PVI	Nursery School	Primary Nursery Class	TOTAL
1												

  

<b>TOTAL FUNDING FOR EARLY YEARS SINGLE FUNDING FORMULA FOR 2 YEAR OLDS:</b>													<b>£3,529,098</b>
--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	-------------------

7. Early years contingency funding		Description		Anticipated total budget
2 Year Olds		1	Early years contingency funding	52,000.00
3 & 4 Years Old		2	Early years contingency funding	100,000.00
8. Early years centrally retained spending		Description		Anticipated total budget
2 Year Olds		1	Earmarked Capital	2,500,000
3 & 4 Years Old		2	Providing Extended services, Day Nurseries,	5,548,000
<b>TOTAL FUNDING FOR CENTRAL EXPENDITURE:</b>				<b>£8,200,000</b>
9. Early years pupil premium allocation				Allocated total budget
3 & 4 Years Old				575,000.00
<b>TOTAL ALLOCATION FOR PUPIL PREMIUM: 2015 - 16</b>				



