



**Community Infrastructure Levy:
Draft Charging Schedule
Summary of Supplementary Consultation Responses**

London Borough of Tower Hamlets

May 2019

1. INTRODUCTION

- 1.1 On 14th March 2019 Tower Hamlets Council commenced a supplementary consultation on its Community Infrastructure Levy (CIL) Draft Charging Schedule (DCS). It invited responses from the public including local landowners and developers, as well as other public authorities and statutory consultees. The purpose of the consultation was to invite comments and additional evidence that will help the Council strike an appropriate balance when setting CIL rates.
- 1.2 The purpose of this consultation was due to a boundary amendment and the consultation only invited representations in relation to this matter.
- 1.3 In accordance with Regulation 15 (7) of the Community Infrastructure Levy Regulations 2010 (as amended) the Council has taken into account these representations before it proceeds to examination in public seeking to adopt the charging schedule. This document summarises how the Council has taken the representations into account alongside other appropriate available evidence.
- 1.4 The Council received 6 representations in total. Table 1 below shows the parties who submitted representations:

<u>Ref</u>	<u>Representor (Agent)</u>
CIL_SDCS 1	Canal & River Trust
CIL_SDCS 2	Natural England
CIL_SDCS 3	Environment Agency
CIL_SDCS 4	Port of London Authority
CIL_SDCS 5	Ashbourne Beech Property Limited (DP9)
CIL_SDCS 6	Michael Byrne

- 1.5 Please see Appendix A for a document which includes a more comprehensive summary of the representations received and provides the Council's response in relation to each Representation.

2. THE MAIN ISSUES

- 2.1 CIL_SDCS 1 - CIL_SDCS 5 did not raise any new issues in regards to the proposed boundary change or changes to the charging rate.
- 2.2 CIL_SDCS 6 raised objection outside the perimeter of the consultation. Therefore, this objection will not be taken into consideration.

3. CONCLUSIONS

- 3.1 Further to this round of public consultation, the Council has noted the representations received in proceeding with the adoption of the new Charging Schedule.

Appendix A: Detailed Schedule of Representations and the Council's Responses

Comment ID	Representor (Agent)	Summary of Representation	Council's Response
CIL_SDCS 1	Canal & River Trust	No new issues were raised (representation is same as Draft Charging Schedule)	Please refer to CIL_DCS 4 response within Draft Charging Schedule
CIL_SDCS 2	Natural England	No Comments	
CIL_SDCS 3	Environment Agency	No Comments	
CIL_SDCS 4	Port of London Authority	No new issues were raised (representation is same as Draft Charging Schedule)	Please refer to CIL_DCS 21 response within Draft Charging Schedule
CIL_SDCS 5	Ashbourne Beech Property Limited (DP9)	No new issues were raised (representation is same as Draft Charging Schedule)	Please refer to CIL_DCS 7 response within Draft Charging Schedule
CIL_SDCS 6	Michael Byrne	<p>I'd like to object to CIL for student accommodation at below market rates being zero rated –it would be too easy for someone building a new student housing building to set the accommodation rates below market for a short length of time and then increase them without paying any CIL at all.</p> <p>I'd also like to suggest that the whole of the Isle of Dogs should be zone 1 as it all suffers the same issues and the expansion zone is inevitably heading south.</p> <p>Given all of the development about to start around the new Town Hall, I would also suggest that the area around Whitechapel should also be classified as zone 1 as this will have access to truly world class facilities, such as the town hall, the Elizabeth line, the Royal London hospital and a number of libraries.</p>	This representation falls outside the perimeter of this consultation.