

# Isle of Dogs Neighbourhood Plan Basic Conditions 2017 - 2031



**Neighbourhood Plan Basic Conditions Statement submitted by the Isle of Dogs Neighbourhood Planning Forum on the 25<sup>th</sup> October 2017 (amended 9<sup>th</sup> November). To have effect until 31<sup>st</sup> December 2031**



## CONTENTS & GLOSSARY

Please see the Neighbourhood Plan document for the full Glossary

### TABLE OF CONTENTS

<b>Contents &amp; glossary</b> .....	<b>2</b>
<b>Introduction</b> .....	<b>2</b>
<b>Basic Conditions and Tests to be Met</b> .....	<b>3</b>
<b>Legal requirements</b> .....	<b>4</b>
<b>Having regard to national policies</b> .....	<b>7</b>
<b>How the plan contributes to Sustainable Development</b> .....	<b>8</b>
<b>How the plan contributes to Economic Sustainability</b> .....	<b>9</b>
<b>How the plan contributes to Social Sustainability</b> .....	<b>10</b>
<b>How the plan contributes to the Environment</b> .....	<b>10</b>
<b>General Conformity With The Councils Strategic Policies</b> .....	<b>12</b>
<b>Making of the order does not breach, and is otherwise compatible with, EU obligations</b>	<b>13</b>
<b>London Plan 2016 Conformity</b> .....	<b>13</b>
<b>Equalities Impact Assessment</b> .....	<b>18</b>

## INTRODUCTION

The following pages set out how the Isle of Dogs Neighbourhood Plan proposal fulfils the 'Basic Conditions' of Neighbourhood Planning and other considerations in order to satisfy the requirements set out in legislation and pass the independent examination.

This Basic Conditions Statement explains how the Neighbourhood Plan proposal as a whole and the policies it contains meet each Basic Condition and other legal tests.

The submitted Neighbourhood Plan proposal should also be read in conjunction with the Consultation Statement: this sets out the consultation and engagement with local residents, businesses and interested parties during the plan's preparation

To be 'made', a neighbourhood plan must meet certain Basic Conditions. These include that the making of the plan 'does not breach, and is otherwise compatible with, EU obligations'. These EU obligations include environmental assessments. In order to support the Neighbourhood Forum to meet this requirement, Tower Hamlets Council has undertaken a screening to determine whether the proposed Isle of Dogs Neighbourhood Plan (pre-submission version) should be subject to a Strategic Environmental Assessment (SEA) or Habitats Regulation Assessment (HRA). It has

concluded that the draft Isle of Dogs Neighbourhood Plan does not need to be subject to a SEA report or HRA.

## BASIC CONDITIONS AND TESTS TO BE MET

Schedule 4B of the Town and Country Planning Act (Para. 8) sets out the following conditions which a Neighbourhood Development Plan is required to meet.

8(1) The examiner must consider the following—

(a) whether the draft neighbourhood development order meets the basic conditions (see subparagraph [\(2\)](#)),

(b) whether the draft order complies with the provision made by or under sections [61E\(2\)](#), [61J](#) and [61L](#),

(c) whether any period specified under section [61L\(2\)\(b\)](#) or [\(5\)](#) is appropriate,

(d) whether the area for any referendum should extend beyond the neighbourhood area to which the draft order relates, and

(e) such other matters as may be prescribed.

A draft order meets the basic conditions if—

(a) having regard to national policies and advice contained in guidance issued by the Secretary of State, it is appropriate to make the order,

(b) having special regard to the desirability of preserving any listed building or its setting or any features of special architectural or historic interest that it possesses, it is appropriate to make the order,

(c) having special regard to the desirability of preserving or enhancing the character or appearance of any conservation area, it is appropriate to make the order,

(d) the making of the order contributes to the achievement of sustainable development,

(e) the making of the order is in general conformity with the strategic policies contained in the development plan for the area of the authority (or any part of that area),

(f) the making of the order does not breach, and is otherwise compatible with, EU obligations, and


(g) prescribed conditions are met in relation to the order and prescribed matters have been complied with in connection with the proposal for the order.

LEGAL REQUIREMENTS

The Neighbourhood Plan proposal is being submitted by a qualifying body

The application to designate a Neighbourhood Planning Area and Forum for the Isle of Dogs was approved by the Executive Mayor of Tower Hamlets John Biggs in Cabinet on Tuesday 5 April 2016.

[https://www.towerhamlets.gov.uk/lgnl/environment\\_and\\_planning/planning/planning\\_guidance/neighbourhood\\_planning/Isle\\_of\\_Dogs.aspx](https://www.towerhamlets.gov.uk/lgnl/environment_and_planning/planning/planning_guidance/neighbourhood_planning/Isle_of_Dogs.aspx)



That is being proposed is a Neighbourhood Development Plan

Although we use the term Neighbourhood Plan, it is the same as a Neighbourhood Development Order. The document contains a vision statement as a guide to what we aspire to achieve but the vision are not Policies.

Policies are separately identified in **Blue Bold font**

**The proposed Neighbourhood Plan states the period for which it is to have effect.**

The Plan will have effect until the 31<sup>st</sup> December 2031 in order to align with the new LBTH Local Plan.

**The proposed Neighbourhood Plan does not relate to more than one neighbourhood area and there are no other Neighbourhood Development Plans in place within the neighbourhood area.**

The plan relates only to the NP Area and there are no other Neighbourhood Plans within the Neighbourhood Area.

However, once the Plan has been submitted to LBTH work will start on;

- A new 'sister' Forum for the areas removed from the Area in April 2016 but which were in the original application submitted on the 1<sup>st</sup> December 2014. This new Forum may wish to adopt parts of this NP given that members of that Forum have been involved in writing this Plan. This is why much of the research in the Backup document refers to a wider area (as well as the difficulty of getting data for the Area imposed on us).
- A replacement Neighbourhood Plan called the 'long' Plan which will replace this NP in time. Given the pace and speed of development we knew that to write a fully detailed NP after the 16-month delay in recognition would mean we miss the opportunity to influence developments underway.

**A neighbourhood development order may not provide for the granting of planning permission for any development that is excluded development.**

There are no excluded developments relevant to this NP

**having special regard to the desirability of preserving any listed building or its setting or any features of special architectural or historic interest that it possesses, it is appropriate to make the order,**

This NP Plan does not specifically deal with heritage issues. In the short term, we are satisfied that LBTH policies cover these issues adequately. In the long term, we intend in the 'long' Neighbourhood Plan to address this issue in more detail.

**having special regard to the desirability of preserving or enhancing the character or appearance of any conservation area, it is appropriate to make the order,**



This NP Plan does not specifically deal with conservation areas. In the short term, we are satisfied that LBTH policies cover these issues adequately. In the long term, we intend in the 'long' Neighbourhood Plan to address this issue in more detail


**the making of the order does not breach, and is otherwise compatible with, EU obligations**

See page 13

**whether the area for any referendum should extend beyond the neighbourhood area to which the draft order relates,**

The Neighbourhood Area imposed on the 5<sup>th</sup> April 2016 by LBTH cut across ward boundaries, LSOA areas and polling district Canary Wharf 1 in Canary Wharf ward. The original Forum Area applied for on the 1<sup>st</sup> December 2014 did not do so as it was based on ward boundaries and strong physical boundaries.

We would therefore recommend that the whole of polling district Canary Wharf 1 be included in the referendum area. The area was formerly in the Forum Area submitted on the 1<sup>st</sup> December 2014 and we continue to have members in that area who continue to be consulted. We know Democratic Services are concerned about administering the split. The map below is of the polling districts in the area.



## HAVING REGARD TO NATIONAL POLICIES

The table below shows how our NP policies match sections of the NPPF

<b>NPPF Chapter Heading</b>	<b>NPPF Paragraph</b>	<b>Neighbourhood Plan Policies</b>
1. Building a strong, competitive economy	21 ‘any lack of infrastructure, services’	D1, CIL3, CIL4
4. Promoting sustainable transport	38 ‘within large-scale developments, key facilities such as primary schools and local shops should be located within walking distance of most properties’	D1, CIL3, CIL4
5. Supporting high quality communications infrastructure	42, 43	BBA1, 2, 3, 3D1
6. Delivering a wide choice of high quality homes	50 51 ‘Local planning authorities should identify and bring back into residential use empty housing and buildings in line with local housing and empty homes strategies’	ER4 ES1
7. Requiring good design	56, 57, 58 56, 57, 58, 59	3D1, 3D2 SD1
8. Promoting healthy communities	69 70 72	GR1 D1, CIL2 D1
11. Conserving and enhancing the natural environment	109 ‘preventing both new and existing development from contributing to or being put at unacceptable risk from, or being adversely affected by unacceptable levels of soil, air, water or noise pollution’  110 ‘In preparing plans to meet development needs, the aim should be to minimise pollution and other adverse effects on the local and natural	CC1, CC3, AQ1  CC1, CC3, AQ1

	environment. <sup>2</sup> 123 124	CC1, CC3, AQ1 CC1, CC3, AQ1
Ensuring viability and deliverability		175 CIL1, 2, 3, 4
Pre-application engagement and front loading	188, 189 194	CC1, CC2 CC1, CC2

## HOW THE PLAN CONTRIBUTES TO SUSTAINABLE DEVELOPMENT

The Isle of Dogs is a unique place. The Forum area is an island with water on four sides with limited access to the rest of London. It is also part of the most important growth area in the UK and one of the most important economic areas in the UK.

It is possible based on forecasts for the Forum area and other areas in the E14 postcode area that the eventual population will reach around 250,000 people, bigger than many UK cities. Part of this NP is to prepare for that new ‘city’. It is likely that the policies in this Plan will be used by neighbouring Planning Forums which are in the process of being set up.

But the principles of sustainable development are perhaps more important here than elsewhere in the UK. The Ministerial Foreword to the NPPF has this to say;

*“Sustainable means ensuring that better lives for ourselves don’t mean worse lives for future generations.*

*Development means growth. We must accommodate the new ways by which we will earn our living in a competitive world. We must house a rising population, which is living longer and wants to make new choices. We must respond to the changes that new technologies offer us. Our lives, and the places in which we live them, can be better, but they will certainly be worse if things stagnate.”*

These sentiments speak directly to our Neighbourhood Plan. How do we make the densest place in the United Kingdom and probably western Europe a sustainable place to live? How do we ensure sustainable development in the place with the tallest and densest residential developments? How do we ensure sustainable development whose peers are not Bristol, Birmingham or Chelsea but Manhattan, Shanghai and Singapore (whose planning team we have met)?

Sustainable development on the Isle of Dogs will look different to other parts of the country but will still be true to the principles of the NPPF.



## HOW THE PLAN CONTRIBUTES TO ECONOMIC SUSTAINABILITY

*an economic role – contributing to building a strong, responsive and competitive economy, by ensuring that sufficient land of the right type is available in the right places and at the right time to support growth and innovation; and by identifying and coordinating development requirements, including the provision of infrastructure;*

The northern part of the Isle of Dogs is part of the Central Activities Zone for London signifying its importance and Canary Wharf is the 3<sup>rd</sup> most important economic area in the UK (Centre for Cities). Residential development on the Isle of Dogs also play an important element of the UK's balance of payments and economy given the sheer scale of developments. The Homes and Communities Agency has lent Canary Wharf Group money to build both Newfoundland and Wood Wharf. We also have nationally important data centres in the Area or nearby. Tower Hamlets has also been the leading recipient of New Homes Bonus every year since the programme's inception in 2012 much of it earned on the island or nearby.

Canary Wharf's economic role cannot work effectively if part of the area and its hinterland to the south cease to function due to a lack of infrastructure. Development cannot proceed if it does not have the supporting infrastructure and given the shortage of publicly owned land in the Area it has to be planned for as part of site allocations or through NP policies.

It should be noted that the site allocations in the new draft LBTH Local Plan do not produce enough sites for all of the infrastructure assets identified in the OAPF DIFS study.

Policy D1 is about ensuring that there is a supply of infrastructure to support development.

CIL1, 3 and 4 are about ensuring there are the funds to support the infrastructure required and that we have the funds to support the development of the area.

CIL2 is about ensuring that future community facilities and projects having an ongoing income stream to support them

3D1 is about ensuring planning is efficient, we also believe a 3D model would save developers and LBTH money in the long term

BBA 1 to 3 are about ensuring that the area has the most advanced communications infrastructure

SD1 is about ensuring development are built to the highest possible environmental standards which also ensures they are cheaper to heat, run and operate.

ER6 & ER7 ensure that residents, leaseholders and small businesses do not suffer financially from redevelopment, which cannot be sustainable nor financially viable

ER8 is about ensuring that public bodies re-invest any profits made back into the area in order to avoid valuable local assets being used not to the benefit of the local area.

## HOW THE PLAN CONTRIBUTES TO SOCIAL SUSTAINABILITY

*A social role – supporting strong, vibrant and healthy communities, by providing the supply of housing required to meet the needs of present and future generations; and by creating a high quality built environment, with accessible local services that reflect the community’s needs and support its health, social and cultural well-being;*

The Isle of Dogs has traditionally been an area where social and other infrastructure lags development. With inadequate social facilities, high cost of living, high levels of anti-social behaviour and continuous construction too many residents choose to leave the area every year despite loving the location and natural settings of the Area. It is not sustainable to lose so many people.

The Isle of Dogs is also one of the most mixed and diverse communities in the country with people born here as well as from all over the world. We are one of the leading suppliers of new homes in the country and expectations expressed in the OAPF DIFS study will accentuate that.

We are supporting strong, vibrant and healthy communities through the following policies;

D1 – ensures that we have the community and infrastructure we need close by

ES1 – which ensures that no empty land or building is left fallow and unused but is available for community use

GR1 – enables residents in new buildings to come together in an organised manner rather in the ad-hoc manner they do today

CIL1 to 4 – is about ensuring that CIL is available to spend on community projects to support the development of the Area given the long history of S106 being spent elsewhere

ER1 to 4 – are about ensuring that the vibrant and healthy communities we already have in the Area remain so through any possible redevelopment

## HOW THE PLAN CONTRIBUTES TO THE ENVIRONMENT

*An environmental role – contributing to protecting and enhancing our natural, built and historic environment; and, as part of this, helping to improve biodiversity, use natural resources prudently, minimise waste and pollution, and mitigate and adapt to climate change including moving to a low carbon economy.*

Construction related traffic, noise, dust and fumes have a major impact on the Area. It is likely that there will be major construction sites active in the Area throughout the duration of this Plan. Rather than treating construction as a one-off activity it has to be seen as part of our day to day experience of the planning process.

Policies CC1 to CC3 are all about trying to improve the construction process.

It also essential that we 'improve biodiversity, use natural resources prudently, minimise waste and pollution, and mitigate and adapt to climate change'. While not solely dependent on financial resources the large amounts of CIL we will be earning locally can help with these objectives. Policies CIL1 to 4

In addition, the following policies meet the requirement to plan for the environment

ES1 ensures that sites are not knocked down un necessarily as has happened repeatedly in the local area i.e. McDonalds on Preston's Roundabout, JP Morgan/Riverside South

SD1 ensures that the latest 'Excellent' BREEAM sustainability standards are used for the following issues;

- Energy
- Land use and ecology
- Water
- Health and wellbeing
- Pollution
- Transport
- Materials
- Waste
- Management

AQ1 is about improving air quality in an Area that suffers from poor air quality on its northern boundary especially

## GENERAL CONFORMITY WITH THE COUNCILS STRATEGIC POLICIES

Tower Hamlets Council current Local Plan has two main documents;

- Core Strategy Development Plan Document 2025 adopted September 2010
- Managing Development Document was formally adopted April 2013

[https://www.towerhamlets.gov.uk/lgnl/environment\\_and\\_planning/planning/planning\\_guidance/local\\_plan.aspx](https://www.towerhamlets.gov.uk/lgnl/environment_and_planning/planning/planning_guidance/local_plan.aspx)

They are currently writing a revised Local Plan with Regulation 19 consultation which started in October 2017. As these do not currently have legal force we have referred back to the current legal Local Plan when matching NP policies.

Our current NP with its limited number of Policies relies heavily on the Local Plan to fill the gaps. But we have focussed our Policies on those areas not sufficiently covered by the Local Plan so that added together they ensure sustainable development.

	<b>LBTH Strategic Plan Policies – Core Strategy 2025</b>	<b>Neighbourhood Plan Policies</b>
SO1	Excellent regional, national and global connections	D1, ES1, CC1 to CC3, ER1 to ER8, AQ1
SO2	Benefiting from the Olympic legacy	Not applicable
SO3	Healthy and sustainable environment	D1, AQ1, 3D1, 3D2, SD1
SO4	Successful town centres	Not applicable
SO5	Active town centre edges and main routes	Not applicable
SO6	Complementary uses to support town centres	Not applicable
SO7	New housing	D1, ES1
SO8	Appropriate types of housing	ER1 to ER7
SO9	High quality housing	3D1, 3D2, SD1
SO10	Improved health and well- being of local people	D1, ES1, SD1
SO11	Adequate social infrastructure	D1, ES1
SO12	High quality, well connected green and blue spaces. Improved biodiversity	CIL, 1, 3 & 4, ES1
SO13	Flooding prevention, mitigation and adaptation	Not applicable
SO14	Sustainable waste management	Not applicable
SO15	Successful global economic centres. Vibrant local economy	All policies
SO16	Successful businesses in appropriate locations	Not applicable
SO17	Improved skills of local people. Lower levels of worklessness among local people	BBA1, BBA2, BBA3
SO18	Improved skills of local people. Vibrant local economy	D1

SO19	High quality and accessible sustainable transport network	CIL3 & 4
SO20	Connected and legible streets and spaces	CC1 to CC3, 3D1
SO21	High quality public realm	CIL1 to CIL4
SO22	Protected and enhanced heritage assets	Not applicable
SO23	Well-designed buildings and places. Tall buildings in appropriate locations.	3D1, 3D2, SD1, AQ1
SO24	Reduction in carbon emissions	SD1, AQ1
SO25	Successful, vibrant, locally distinct and integrated places	All policies

The fact that Tower Hamlets three years after completing the 2<sup>nd</sup> part of its first Local Plan is already re-writing it shows the pressure of development.

The decision to write a Neighbourhood Plan predates the decision to rewrite the Local Plan

#### MAKING OF THE ORDER DOES NOT BREACH, AND IS OTHERWISE COMPATIBLE WITH, EU OBLIGATIONS

To be 'made', a neighbourhood plan must meet certain Basic Conditions. These include that the making of the plan 'does not breach, and is otherwise compatible with, EU obligations'. These EU obligations include environmental assessments. In order to support the Neighbourhood Forum to meet this requirement, the Council has undertaken a screening to determine whether the proposed Isle of Dogs Neighbourhood Plan (pre-submission version) should be subject to a Strategic Environmental Assessment (SEA) or Habitats Regulation Assessment (HRA). It has concluded that the Draft Isle of Dogs Neighbourhood Plan does not need to be subject to a SEA report or HRA.

Further details are provided in the documents available on the Council website here;

[https://www.towerhamlets.gov.uk/lgnl/environment\\_and\\_planning/planning/planning\\_guidance/neighbourhood\\_planning/Isle\\_of\\_Dogs.aspx](https://www.towerhamlets.gov.uk/lgnl/environment_and_planning/planning/planning_guidance/neighbourhood_planning/Isle_of_Dogs.aspx)

#### LONDON PLAN 2016 CONFORMITY

The Forum believes that its Neighbourhood Plan is in general conformity with the Mayor of London's - London Plan – March 2016 version. The London Plan sets planning policy at a London wide level.

The Area of the Forum is contained within the Isle of Dogs Opportunity Area. The London Plan also sets specific targets for the Opportunity Area of 110,000 extra jobs and a minimum of 10,000 new homes. With 19,500 homes with planning permission in the OAPF area plus many more delivered between the setting of the target and October 2017, this target has been achieved.



The London Plan recognises the northern part of the Isle of Dogs as part of the Central Activities Zone (CAZ) for London. The London Plan does not define the exact boundaries of the CAZ but the draft LBTH Local Plan 2031 sets them as adjoining our northern boundary so our Area is not subject to the CAZ although that is dependent on the final outcome of the Local Plan 2031.

The following Supplementary Planning Guidance (SPG) in the London Plan are either specifically referred to or directly relevant to the NP:

- Housing Supplementary Planning Guidance - May 2016
- The control of dust and emissions during construction and demolition - July 2014
- Central Activities Zone - March 2016

The Mayor of London announced in October 2017 that the London Plan housing targets for Tower Hamlets would be reduced in the next iteration of the London Plan.

The Mayor of London in 2016 launched a draft 'Good Practise Guide to Estate Regeneration' but the final version has not been released as of October 2017 and although relevant is neither part of the London Plan nor an SPG.

Given the large number of London Plan policies only those which are directly relevant are detailed below.

<b>London Plan Policy</b>	<b>Relevant Neighbourhood Plan Policy</b>	<b>Comment on conformity</b>
POLICY 1.1 DELIVERING THE STRATEGIC VISION AND OBJECTIVES FOR LONDON	D1, SD1, AQ1	The London Plan says this "The development of east London will be a particular priority to address existing need for development, regeneration and promotion of social and economic convergence with other parts of London and as the location of the largest opportunities for new homes and jobs." The NP Area is at the heart of the development in East London and our NP will help ensure these aspirations are delivered.
POLICY 2.9 INNER LONDON	All policies	"B. Within LDFs boroughs with all or part of their area falling within inner

		London (see Map 2.2) should develop more detailed policies and proposals taking into account the above principles.” The NP helps deliver the required detailed policies targeted at this unique area.
POLICY 2.13 OPPORTUNITY AREAS AND INTENSIFICATION AREAS	All policies	“C. Within LDFs boroughs should develop more detailed policies and proposals for opportunity areas and intensification areas.” The NP helps deliver the required detailed policies targeted at this unique area.
POLICY 3.4 OPTIMISING HOUSING POTENTIAL	D1	Makes clear how high density can be delivered sustainably
POLICY 3.5 QUALITY AND DESIGN OF HOUSING DEVELOPMENTS	SD1	“A. Housing developments should be of the highest quality internally, externally and in relation to their context”
POLICY 3.7 LARGE RESIDENTIAL DEVELOPMENTS	D1, ES1, CIL1 to 4	“B. ... coordinate where necessary provision of social, environmental and other infrastructure and create neighbourhoods with a distinctive character, sense of local pride and civic identity in line with Chapter 7. The planning of these areas should take place with the engagement of local communities and other stakeholders.”
POLICY 3.8 HOUSING CHOICE	SD1	The London Plan makes clear “Londoners should have a genuine choice of homes that they can afford and which meet their requirements for different sizes and types of dwellings in the <u>highest quality environments.</u> ”
POLICY 3.9 MIXED AND BALANCED COMMUNITIES	D1, SD1, GR1, ER1 to ER7	Estate Regeneration policies will help ensure balance

		“Communities mixed and balanced by tenure and household income should be promoted across London through incremental small scale as well as larger scale developments which foster social diversity, redress social exclusion and strengthen communities’ sense of responsibility for, and identity with, their neighbourhoods. They must be supported by effective and attractive design, adequate infrastructure and an enhanced environment.”
POLICY 3.16 PROTECTION AND ENHANCEMENT OF SOCIAL INFRASTRUCTURE	D1, ES1, CIL1 to CIL4	The NP will deliver the sites and money for new social infrastructure “A. London requires additional and enhanced social infrastructure provision to meet the needs of its growing and diverse population.”
POLICY 3.17 HEALTH AND SOCIAL CARE FACILITIES	D1, CIL1, 3 & 4	
POLICY 3.18 EDUCATION FACILITIES	D1, CIL1, 3 & 4	
POLICY 4.11 ENCOURAGING A CONNECTED ECONOMY	BBA1 to BBA3	“A.a. facilitate the provision and delivery of the information and communications technology (ICT) infrastructure a modern and developing economy needs, particularly to ensure”
POLICY 5.3 SUSTAINABLE DESIGN AND CONSTRUCTION	SD1	“A. The highest standards of sustainable design and construction should be achieved in London to improve the environmental performance of new developments and to adapt to the effects of climate change over their lifetime.”
POLICY 7.1 LIFETIME NEIGHBOURHOODS	All policies	“In their neighbourhoods, people should have a good quality environment in an active and supportive local community based

		on the lifetime neighbourhoods principles set out in paragraph 7.4A” this is why we wrote a NP for the IoD
POLICY 7.4 LOCAL CHARACTER	3D1 & 3D2	
POLICY 7.6 ARCHITECTURE	3D1 & 3D2	Given height and density of development on the IoD we believe these requirements in the London Plan are best met through the use of 3D models “A. Architecture should make a positive contribution to a coherent public realm, streetscape and wider cityscape.”
POLICY 7.7 LOCATION AND DESIGN OF TALL AND LARGE BUILDINGS	3D1 & 3D2	3D modelling will allow more detailed analysis of issues raised in this Policy “D.a. Tall buildings should not affect their surroundings adversely in terms of microclimate, wind turbulence, overshadowing, noise, reflected glare, aviation, navigation and telecommunication interference”
POLICY 7.14 IMPROVING AIR QUALITY	AQ1, CC3	
POLICY 8.3 COMMUNITY INFRASTRUCTURE LEVY	CIL1 to 4	By ensuring that CIL is only spent in the Area we support this section of the London Plan “D. The Mayor will work with boroughs to ensure that priorities for the application of the CIL for strategically important infrastructure are identified through the LDF process, particularly to support development of opportunity and intensification areas.”

## EQUALITIES IMPACT ASSESSMENT

The following is an equalities impact assessment of the NP.

### Summary

Residents of the Isle of Dogs came together in autumn 2014 to agree to set up a Neighbourhood Planning Forum for the Isle of Dogs. We have communicated with the wider community through a number of different methods including hand delivered letters, local newspapers, social media, attending meetings and word of mouth.

The NP provides a limited range of policies to deal with urgent issues and responds to the wishes of the community as expressed in our Vision statement. It will benefit the whole community but through its emphasis on improved local infrastructure, air quality, estate regeneration and construction management it will benefit those with limited mobility or health issues in particular.

The NP does not explicitly address the needs of racial or religious groups, or transgender, gay or lesbian groups, or inequalities of sex. However, the Vision statement outlines a community where people get on well together. The NP seeks improvements in facilities and services which will benefit all groups equally.

The Isle of Dogs Neighbourhood Planning Forum does not consider that there are any negative equality impacts that arise from the policies contained within the Neighbourhood Plan or that it raises any issues in relation to the Human Rights Act 1998.

### Data Sources

The data is taken from an aggregate of the ward profiles undertaken by LBTH Corporate Research Unit in May 2014 from the 2011 Census data or data in the GLA Ward Atlas based on 2014 Ward Boundaries

<https://data.london.gov.uk/dataset/ward-profiles-and-atlas>

The term 'Island' refers to the three Isle of Dogs wards – Island Gardens, Canary Wharf and Blackwall & Cubitt Town not the NP area which since April 2016 does not neatly align with any existing demographic analysis areas. But we believe the demographic consistency of the total of the three island wards is similar to that of the Area and that new developments will accelerate that process.



## Population

The Area is the fastest growing place in the UK in the fastest growing Borough, these are our estimates for the Island and the larger OAPF area (the main NP document has more population growth detail)

Source	2015	Growth	2031	Growth %
	ONS	NP draft	NP Model	
Blackwall & Cubitt Town	16,854	28,595	45,449	170%
Canary Wharf	16,576	28,295	44,871	171%
Island Gardens	15,942	964	16,906	6%
<b>Isle of Dogs Total</b>	<b>49,372</b>	<b>57,854</b>	<b>107,226</b>	<b>117%</b>
Poplar	7,458	3,527	10,985	47%
<b>Total OAPF area</b>	<b>56,830</b>	<b>61,381</b>	<b>118,211</b>	<b>108%</b>

## Age Profile

The Area is very much a working area with a below average number of young and old people.

This also reflects the fact that the area is not attractive enough for families.

	Aged 0-15	Aged 16-64	Aged 65+
Island wards	15.0%	80.4%	4.5%
Tower Hamlets	20.0%	73.4%	6.6%
London	19.8%	68.8%	11.4%
England and Wales	18.9%	64.1%	17.0%

## Race

	Island wards	Tower Hamlets	London	England and Wales
White British	32.7%	32.8%	47.1%	81.5%
Other White	18.4%	12.4%	12.6%	4.4%
Mixed	4.5%	4.1%	5.0%	2.2%
Asian or Asian British	19.5%	9.1%	15.8%	6.7%
Bangladeshi	15.8%	32.0%	2.7%	0.8%
Black or Black British	6.3%	7.3%	13.3%	3.3%
Other	2.8%	2.3%	3.4%	1.0%

As can be seen the Island is different from the rest of Tower Hamlets. The Other White and Asian or Asian British (excluding Bangladeshi's) categories are much higher than average.

The Forum believes based on meeting new residents in new developments that this trend is likely to increase and that the Area will become more diverse in the future and that individual groups like White British and Bangladeshi will decline in terms of relative size.

### Religion or Belief

	Island wards	Tower Hamlets	London	England and Wales
Christian	33%	27%	48%	59%
Buddhist	2%	1%	1%	0%
Hindu	5%	2%	5%	1%
Jewish	0%	1%	2%	0%
Muslim	19%	35%	12%	5%
Sikh	0%	0%	2%	1%
Other religions	0%	0%	1%	0%
No religion	22%	19%	21%	25%
Religion not stated	19%	15%	8%	7%

As can be seen the Island is very different from the rest of Tower Hamlets and the UK. The % for No religion or Not stated at 41% is higher than Tower Hamlets and the rest of the country.

### Born in the UK versus Born Abroad

Another way of looking at this issue is looking at the numbers born abroad versus those born in the UK, a majority on the island were born abroad reflecting the international nature of the population.

	Born in UK	Not Born in UK
Island wards	49%	51%
Tower Hamlets	57%	43%
London	63%	37%
England and Wales	87%	13%

### Disability

Reflecting the working population of the area the % of disabled is lower than average for TH.

	Island	Tower Hamlets
Day-to-day activities limited a lot	4.6%	6.8%
Day-to-day activities limited a little	4.6%	6.7%

But twenty of our 401 survey respondents said they were disabled

### Gender Reassignment

We do not have any demographic information on gender reassignment. However, this group of people are taken to be represented in the NP area.

### Gender

The 2011 Census shows that the population of Tower Hamlets is 51.5 % men and 48.5 % women - a gender ratio of 106 male residents per 100 female residents.

For the Island, it was 52.6% men and 47.4% women showing an even greater preponderance of males than the average again reflecting the working population of the area – a gender ratio of 111 male residents per 100 female residents.

This is a very clear difference to London and England as a whole.

	Male	Female	Number of men per 100 women
Island	52.6%	47.4%	111
Tower Hamlets	51.5%	48.5%	106
London	49.3%	50.7%	97
England and Wales	49.2%	50.8%	97

Interestingly both our membership and survey demographic data shows a preponderance of females actively involved in the Forum with 58% of those completing our surveys demographic data being female.

### Sexual Orientation

We do not have any demographic information on sexual orientation. However, this group of people are taken to be represented in the NP area.

The Forum Committee membership in 2016/17 reflects at least two different sexual orientations, heterosexual and homosexual.

### Marriage and Civil Partnerships

We have limited data in this area although the % of single person households provides a clue (although many larger households may be sharing and not co-habiting)

The proportion of single households is

Island 37.2%  
Tower Hamlets 34.6%

### **Pregnancy and Maternity**

In two wards the fertility rate is below average which together with the above average number of males suggests that we have less babies born than other areas.

	<b>General Fertility Rate (GFR) (Births per 1,000 women aged 15- 44)</b>
Blackwall and Cubitt Town ward	62
Canary Wharf ward	58
Island Gardens ward	58
Tower Hamlets	61
London	64
England and Wales	62

### **Socio Economic**

There has been an extremely rapid population growth in the area for the last thirty years. This trend is expected to continue over the next 15 years as new developments complete. As a result of this growth, there is a pressing need to improve the provision of local infrastructure, which can help enhance people's quality of life in the Borough.

### **Contact Details**

Email: [isleofdogsnpf@gmail.com](mailto:isleofdogsnpf@gmail.com)  
 Twitter: [@IsleofDogsForum](https://twitter.com/IsleofDogsForum)  
 Facebook: [www.facebook.com/IsleofDogsNeighbourhoodPlanningForum](https://www.facebook.com/IsleofDogsNeighbourhoodPlanningForum)  
 Telephone: 07710 486 873  
 Address: Isle of Dogs Neighbourhood Planning Forum,  
 12 Neptune Court, Homer Drive, London E14 3

Target Group	Impact	Reasons
Race	Neutral	<p>The area is already one of the most mixed in London with new people from all over the world coming to live in the Area. Much of the new population is young and of working age. It has a higher proportion of Other White and non-Bangladeshi Asians than other areas reflecting the international mix of the area.</p> <p>Evidence from the 2011 Census showed that BME residents were more likely to be in need of social housing and living in overcrowded households. CIL spending locally on infrastructure will help all groups but especially those suffering from overcrowding as it will provide more alternative places in the short term while continued development will deliver more affordable housing.</p>
Disability	Neutral	<p>People with disabilities face significant employment barriers, as disabled people are three times more likely to be unemployed than people with no disabilities.</p> <p>However, spending of CIL locally will help towards affordable housing, wheelchair access, local employment and enterprise, transport, public realm, public access and environmental sustainability which will benefit all residents and is particularly important for people in this equalities group.</p> <p>A key section of the 'long' Plan will be to plan for this group better and determine how CIL will be spent on projects which benefit them</p>
Gender	Positive	<p>Due to the working nature of much of the IoD population males make up a larger than average proportion of the population.</p> <p>The NP will make the area a more attractive place to live and to bring up families which should encourage a more balanced demographic mix.</p>
Gender Reassignment	Neutral	<p>There is no data currently available for this group within the area.</p> <p>The NP policies will not have a direct impact on this group although the provision of medical facilities outlined in Policy D1 locally will be useful for those requiring extra medical care as they transition</p>
Sexual Orientation	Neutral	<p>There is no data currently available for this group within the area and we did not ask for this level of information when gathering equalities data as we felt it was an inappropriate question for a resident led body to ask.</p> <p>The Forum Committee membership reflects at least two different sexual orientations, heterosexual and homosexual.</p> <p>The NP policies will not have a direct impact on this subject.</p>



Religion or Belief	Positive	<p>Residents in Tower Hamlets profess a wide range of faiths and this is especially so in the NP area.</p> <p>Policy D1 will be advantageous for religious groups as it includes the provision for</p> <p>a) A community and cultural centre (minimum 400 square meters) D1/D2 use classes</p> <p>Existing local community centres like Alpha Grove are already used as places of prayer or communion by different religious groups representing different faiths. New community spaces can be designed to be multi-use and for example include appropriate washing facilities and be sound proofed for late night Ramadan prayers. This will improve local peoples access to places where they can practise their religion</p>
Age	Neutral	<p>The area is younger than average reflecting the working age population of the area as well as the high turnover of residents in some areas. It means that age is less of an issue then other areas. However anecdotally we are aware of people downsizing into the new developments so this may change especially if we succeed in making the area more attractive. Policies on CIL will help to ensure that the funding is available to support an older population. The estate regeneration policies will also ensure that communities stay together and that the older residents of the estates are not displaced.</p>
Marriage and Civil Partnerships.	Positive	<p>There is no data currently available for this group within the area.</p> <p>The NP policies will not have a direct impact on this group although in the 'long' Neighbourhood Plan we plan to create some destination sites which may one day be appropriate places for civil ceremonies. The CIL policies in reserving money for the IoD will help deliver these sites. The use of CIL to make the area more attractive will result in a more balanced community and encourage people to stay and form partnerships</p>
Pregnancy and Maternity	Positive	<p>The data suggests that the Area suffers from a below average number of babies born which together with the unbalanced gender mix suggests we are not an attractive enough area.</p> <p>The NP policies will not have a direct impact on this group although the provision of extra new medical facilities outlined in Policy D1 will be useful for those requiring medical care locally</p>